

Lysophosphatidic Acid Acyltransferase β (LPAAT β) Promotes the Tumor Growth of Human Osteosarcoma

Farbod Rastegar¹, Jian-Li Gao^{1,2}, Deana Shenaq¹, Qing Luo^{1,3}, Qiong Shi^{1,4}, Stephanie H. Kim¹, Wei Jiang¹, Eric R. Wagner¹, Enyi Huang^{1,5}, Yanhong Gao^{1,6}, Jikun Shen¹, Ke Yang^{1,7}, Bai-Cheng He^{1,4}, Liang Chen^{1,4}, Guo-Wei Zuo^{1,4}, Jinyong Luo^{1,4}, Xiaoji Luo^{1,4}, Yang Bi^{1,3}, Xing Liu^{1,3}, Mi Li^{1,3}, Ning Hu^{1,4}, Linyuan Wang¹, Gaurav Luther¹, Hue H. Luu¹, Rex C. Haydon¹, Tong-Chuan He^{1,2,3*}

1 Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Surgery, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, United States of America, **2** School of Pharmacy, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, **3** Stem Cell Biology and Therapy Laboratory, The Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, **4** Key Laboratory of Diagnostic Medicine designated by Chinese Ministry of Education, and Affiliated Hospitals of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, **5** School of Biengineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China, **6** Department of Geriatrics, Xinhua Hospital of Shanghai Jiatong University, Shanghai, China, **7** Department of Cell Biology, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China

Abstract

Background: Osteosarcoma is the most common primary malignancy of bone with poorly characterized molecular pathways important in its pathogenesis. Increasing evidence indicates that elevated lipid biosynthesis is a characteristic feature of cancer. We sought to investigate the role of lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase β (LPAAT β , aka, AGPAT2) in regulating the proliferation and growth of human osteosarcoma cells. LPAAT β can generate phosphatidic acid, which plays a key role in lipid biosynthesis as well as in cell proliferation and survival. Although elevated expression of LPAAT β has been reported in several types of human tumors, the role of LPAAT β in osteosarcoma progression has yet to be elucidated.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Endogenous expression of LPAAT β in osteosarcoma cell lines is analyzed by using semi-quantitative PCR and immunohistochemical staining. Adenovirus-mediated overexpression of LPAAT β and silencing LPAAT β expression is employed to determine the effect of LPAAT β on osteosarcoma cell proliferation and migration *in vitro* and osteosarcoma tumor growth *in vivo*. We have found that expression of LPAAT β is readily detected in 8 of the 10 analyzed human osteosarcoma lines. Exogenous expression of LPAAT β promotes osteosarcoma cell proliferation and migration, while silencing LPAAT β expression inhibits these cellular characteristics. We further demonstrate that exogenous expression of LPAAT β effectively promotes tumor growth, while knockdown of LPAAT β expression inhibits tumor growth in an orthotopic xenograft model of human osteosarcoma.

Conclusions/Significance: Our results strongly suggest that LPAAT β expression may be associated with the aggressive phenotypes of human osteosarcoma and that LPAAT β may play an important role in regulating osteosarcoma cell proliferation and tumor growth. Thus, targeting LPAAT β may be exploited as a novel therapeutic strategy for the clinical management of osteosarcoma. This is especially attractive given the availability of selective pharmacological inhibitors.

Citation: Rastegar F, Gao J-L, Shenaq D, Luo Q, Shi Q, et al. (2010) Lysophosphatidic Acid Acyltransferase β (LPAAT β) Promotes the Tumor Growth of Human Osteosarcoma. PLoS ONE 5(12): e14182. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014182

Editor: Vladimir N. Uversky, Indiana University, United States of America

Received: July 18, 2010; **Accepted:** November 10, 2010; **Published:** December 1, 2010

Copyright: © 2010 Rastegar et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The reported work was supported in part by research grants from the Brinson Foundation (TCH), National Institutes of Health (TCH and HHL) and the Animal Imaging Pilot Grant from The University of Chicago Comprehensive Cancer Center (to RCH). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: tche@surgery.bsd.uchicago.edu

Introduction

Osteosarcoma (OS) is the most common primary malignancy of bone and accounts for ~5% of childhood tumors in the United States with incidence peaking during the second decade of life [1–5]. The molecular pathogenesis underlying OS development remains to be thoroughly elucidated [4,6]. At presentation, approximately 80% of patients are afflicted by some degrees of metastasis mandating management through chemotherapy and surgical resection [7–10]. Pulmonary metastasis remains the main cause of death in patients with OS [4,11]. Many variants of OS are relatively resistant to current chemotherapy regimens

[5,12,13]. We and others have reported that numerous genetic alterations may be found in OS tumors [4–6,14–16]. However, it remains challenging to identify common genetic alterations that lead to OS development given the diversity and complexity in its pathogenesis [4,17–21].

Lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase (LPAAT, aka, 1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate O-acyltransferase 2, Agpat2) comprises a family of trans-membrane proteins consisting of at least six isoforms. The biological role of LPAAT is to convert lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) into phosphatidic acid (PA) [22], while only the α and β isoforms have significant acyltransferase activity [23]. LPAAT β expression is specific to heart, liver, adipose and pancreas [24–26]. Inherited

mutation of LPAAT β is associated with lipodystrophy type 1, an autosomal recessive condition characterized by impaired triglyceride synthesis, low body fat percentage and insulin resistance [27].

PA is an important metabolite involved in phospholipid biosynthesis and membrane remodeling [28]. PA is considered an important secondary messenger capable of modulating pathways responsible for cell survival and proliferation, such as the mTOR and Raf-1 signaling cascade [29–32]. Increasing evidence indicates that enhanced lipid biosynthesis is a characteristic feature of cancer and deregulated lipogenesis plays an important role in tumor cell survival [33–36]. In fact, the oncogenic nature of lipogenesis closely depends on the activity and/or expression of key cancer-related oncogenes, such as HER2 [34]. Targeted gene deletion of LPAAT β in mice results in a complete absence of both white and brown adipose tissue [37], providing a biochemical link between the triglyceride synthesis pathway and adipogenesis in the liver and adipose tissue. Thus, it is conceivable that LPAAT β may play an important role in regulating cancer-related lipid metabolism.

We sought to investigate the role of LPAAT β in regulating OS cell proliferation and tumor growth. LPAAT β expression is readily detected in most OS cell lines. Exogenous expression of LPAAT β promotes OS cell proliferation and migration, while silencing LPAAT β expression inhibits cell proliferation and migration. Using an orthotopic xenograft model of human OS, we have demonstrated that exogenous expression of LPAAT β effectively promotes OS tumor growth, while knockdown of LPAAT β reduces OS tumor volume in the xenograft model. Taken together, our results strongly suggest that LPAAT β expression may be associated with aggressive phenotypes of human OS and that LPAAT β may play an important role in regulating OS cell proliferation and tumor growth. Therefore, targeting LPAAT β may be exploited as a novel therapeutic strategy for the clinical management of OS.

Materials and Methods

Tumor lines, cell culture and chemicals

No human subjects were used in the reported studies. However, tumor cell lines derived from patients were used, which has been approved by the Institutional Review Board (protocol #17043B). HEK293 and human OS lines 143B, SaOS2, MG63 and TE85 were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA). MG63.2 cell line was established by serially passaging the parental MG63 cells in mice followed by extraction and culture of pulmonary metastasis as previously described [38,39]. Primary OS cells were isolated from resected OS specimens as previously described [15,40,41]. Cells were maintained in complete Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) containing 10% FCS (fetal calf serum, HyClone, Logan, UT) at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Unless otherwise indicated, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO) or Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA).

Generation of recombinant adenoviruses expressing RFP, LPAAT β and siLPAAT β

Recombinant adenoviruses were generated using AdEasy technology as previously described [42–46]. Briefly, the coding region of mouse LPAAT β was PCR amplified and cloned into the adenoviral shuttle vector pAdTrace-TO4 and subsequently used to generate recombinant adenovirus in HEK293 cells. Similarly, four short interfering RNA (siRNA)-coding oligonucleotides against human LPAAT β were designed by using Dharmacon's *siDESIGN* program. The oligonucleotide cassettes were cloned into

pSES shuttle vector as previously described [47–49]. All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. Recombinant adenoviruses, designated as AdR-LPAAT β and AdR-siLPAAT β , were generated as previously described [42–46]. AdR-LPAAT β and AdR-siLPAAT β express RFP as a marker for monitoring infection efficiency. Analogous adenovirus expressing monomeric RFP (Ad-RFP) was used as control [44,50,51].

RNA isolation and semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated using TRIZOL Reagents (Invitrogen) and cDNA was generated via reverse transcription reaction with hexamer and Superscript II RT (Invitrogen). The first strand cDNA products were further diluted 5- to 10-fold and used as PCR templates. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was carried out using PCR primers designed by using the Primer3 program to amplify the gene of interest (approximately 150–180 bps). Primers used were as follows: human LPAAT β , 5'- CCT TCC TCC ACA TCT CCA AG-3' and 5'- CCG GAC AGA GTG GTA TTT GG-3'; human GAPDH, 5'- CAA CGA ATT TGG CTA CAG CA-3' and 5'- AGG GGA GAT TCA GTG TGG TG-3'; and mouse LPAAT β , 5'-GCG GAC AGA AGA AAC TGG AG-3' and 5'-TGA AGT AGA CAC CCC CAA GG-3'. Touchdown PCR reactions were carried out as previously described [15,41,48,49,52–54]. PCR products were separated via 1.2% agarose gels. The resulting bands were analyzed in Kodak ImageStation 440CF using Kodak 1D 3.6 software.

Crystal violet staining

Subconfluent cells were seeded in 12-well plates and infected with an optimal titer of AdR-LPAAT β , AdR-siLPAAT β or AdRFP. Cells were subjected to crystal violet staining at 5 days after infection as previously described [41,55]. Images were taken from the plates relative staining intensities were analyzed by using ImageJ software.

Cell proliferation (MTT) assay

MTT assay was used to quantitatively analyze cell proliferation as previously described [15,41,56]. Briefly, cells were seeded in 96-well plates and infected with AdR-LPAAT β , AdR-siLPAAT β or AdRFP. Cell proliferation was assessed by MTT assay daily for five days. Optical absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a 96-well microplate reader. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Cell migration and wound healing assay

The experiments were carried out essentially as described previously [15,16,39,41,53,57]. Subconfluent cells were plated into 6-well plates and infected with AdR-LPAAT β , AdR-siLPAAT β or AdRFP in 1% FCS containing medium. At 16 h after infection, the monolayer of cells was wounded using micropipet tips. Marks were created on the plates using 18-gauge needles as reference points for serial imaging. Bright field images of the same field were taken at 4, 12, 24, and 36 hours. The results were repeated in at least two batches of experiments.

Orthotopic tumor model of human osteosarcoma

The reported animal work was carried out according to the guidelines approved by the Institutional animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol #71328). Athymic nude (nu/nu) mice (4–6 week-old, male) were used (5 mice per group, Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN). Human OS cells (e.g., 143B or MG63) were stably transduced with a retroviral vector expressing firefly luciferase (namely 143B-Luc or MG63-Luc). Cells were seeded in

100 mm cell culture dishes and infected with AdR-LPAAT β , AdR-siLPAAT β or AdRFP. At 36–48 h after infection cells were collected and resuspended in PBS to a final concentration of 2×10^7 cells/ml. 50 μ l of the resuspended cells (i.e., 10^6 cells/injection) were periosteally injected posterior to the proximal tibia using a 25 gauge needle.

Xenogen bioluminescence imaging

Xenogen imaging was carried out as described [15,41]. Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane. Approximately 10 min prior to using Xenogen IVIS 200 imaging system, animals were injected (ip) with D-Luciferin sodium salt (Gold BioTechnology) at 100 mg/kg in 0.1 ml sterile PBS. The pseudoinages were obtained by superimposing the emitted light over the gray-scale photographs of the animal. Quantitative analysis was done with Xenogen's Living Image V2.50.1 software.

Tumor volume measurement

The dimensions of the primary tumor sites were measured every 3–4 days. The volume was calculated as previously reported [38,39], by using the following equation: volume = (L+W)(L)(W)(0.2618). The width (W) was an average of the distance at the proximal tibia at the level of the knee joint in the anterior-posterior and medial-lateral planes. The length (L) was the distance from the most distal extent of the calf musculature or distal tumor margin to the knee joint or proximal tumor margin [39].

Histological analysis

Animals were sacrificed and the primary tumors were harvested at necropsy and fixed in 10% formalin or decalcification solution (Cal-Ex, Fisher Scientific). The fixed samples were embedded in paraffin. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and analyzed under a microscope.

Immunohistochemical staining

Immunohistochemical analysis was carried out as previously described previously [14–16,39,41]. Briefly, paraffin sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated and probed with anti-LPAAT β , anti-PCNA, or isotype IgG, followed by incubation with secondary antibodies conjugated with HRP. The expression of expected proteins was visualized by DAB staining and examined under a microscope. Stains without the primary antibody, or with control IgG, were used as negative controls.

Statistical analysis

Microsoft Excel was used to calculate standard deviations (SD) and statistically significant differences between samples using the two-tailed Student's t-test. For all quantitative assays, each assay condition was performed in triplicate and the results were repeated in at least three independent experiments. All collected data were subjected to statistical analysis. A *p*-value < 0.05 was defined as statistically significance.

Results

LPAAT β is expressed in most human OS cells

In order to understand the possible role of LPAAT β in human OS tumorigenesis and progression, we analyzed the endogenous expression of LPAAT β in a panel of human OS cell lines. We first assessed the expression of LPAAT β in the four commercially available OS lines (i.e., MG63, 143B, TE85, and SaOS2) and the line MG63.2 derived from MG63 [38]. As shown in **Fig. 1A**, expression of LPAAT β was readily detected in three of the five

lines. Interestingly, expression of LPAAT β is more apparent in lines with higher xenogenic tumor growth potential, such as 143B, MG 63, and MG63.2 as compared to lines with less tumorigenic capacity, such as TE85 and SaOS2 [39]. We next examined the expression of LPAAT β in five OS lines derived from OS patients [15,40,41]. Three of the five patient-derived OS lines exhibited apparent expression of LPAAT β while other two lines showed weak but detectable expression of LPAAT β (**Fig. 1B**). Our previous studies indicated that UCHOS4 and UCHOS15 lines were more tumorigenic than UCHOS11 cells [15,40,41]. The expression of LPAAT β at protein level was further confirmed in xenograft tumors formed by 143B and MG63.2 cells (**Fig. 1C**). Taken together, these results indicate that LPAAT β is commonly expressed in human OS cells and that LPAAT β expression is seemingly correlated with aggressive phenotype of OS cell lines'.

LPAAT β promotes cell viability and proliferative activity of OS cells

In order to further investigate the functional role of LPAAT β in OS proliferation and tumor growth, we sought to generate recombinant adenoviruses that can effectively over-express LPAAT β (AdR-LPAAT β) and siRNAs targeting human LPAAT β (AdR-siLPAAT β) using the AdEasy technology [44,45]. We constructed an adenoviral vector that over-expresses mouse LPAAT β driven by a CMV promoter (**Fig. 2A**). The siRNA expressing adenoviral vectors were constructed using the pSOS system [46,47,49], for which four target sites were chosen (**Fig. 2B**). The generated adenoviruses, which also express the RFP maker, were shown to effectively transduce 143B cells (**Fig. 2C**) and other OS lines (data not shown). We further demonstrated that AdR-LPAAT β effectively overexpressed mouse LPAAT β mRNA while human LPAAT β mRNA was significantly knocked down by AdR-siLPAAT β (**Fig. 2D**), indicating that the adenoviral vectors are functional.

Using these adenoviral vectors, we investigated the effect of overexpression or knockdown of LPAAT β on OS cell viability and proliferation. Using the crystal violet viability assay, we found that over-expression of LPAAT β promoted 143B proliferation and increased viable cells, whereas knockdown of LPAAT β led to a decrease in cell proliferation and viability of 143B cells (**Fig. 3A**). Quantitative analysis of the crystal violet staining results indicated that the increase in cell viability and proliferation resulted from LPAAT β overexpression or the decrease in cell viability and proliferation caused by LPAAT β knockdown was statistically significant when compared with the control cells (**Fig. 3B**). The effect of LPAAT β on OS cell proliferation was further assessed by MTT assay. Consistent with the results obtained from crystal violet staining assay, overexpression of LPAAT β promoted OS cell proliferation while silencing LPAAT β expression inhibited cell proliferation (**Fig. 3C**). These results were reproducible in other OS cell lines (data not shown). Taken the above findings together, LPAAT β expression can enhance OS cell viability and proliferation *in vitro*.

LPAAT β enhances OS cell migration in vitro

The ability of tumor cells to migrate is considered an important indicator of tumor cell's aggressiveness. To assess the effect of LPAAT β on OS cell migration *in vitro*, we conducted the commonly-used cell wounding experiment [15,16,39,41,53,57]. We found that over-expression of LPAAT β effectively promoted a faster wound healing than that of the control cells (**Fig. 4A vs. 4B**). Conversely, knockdown of LPAAT β expression inhibited cell migration and wound healing, at least in the duration of the testing period (**Fig. 4C vs. 4B**). Similar results were obtained from




Figure 1. Endogenous expression of LPAAT β in human osteosarcoma cell lines. **A.** Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis of LPAAT β expression in the five commonly used OS lines MG63, MG63.2, 143B, TE85, and SaOS2. GAPDH expression was used as an internal control. **B.** Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis of LPAAT β expression in the five primary OS cell lines derived from OS tumors. GAPDH expression was used as an internal control. **C.** Immunohistochemical staining of LPAAT β expression in xenograft tumors formed by MG63.2 and 143B cells in athymic nude mice. Expression of LPAAT β was detected by using a LPAAT β antibody. Isotype IgG was used as a control for immunohistochemical staining. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014182.g001

MG63.2 and other OS cell lines (data now shown). Thus, the above results strongly suggest that LPAAT β expression may be related to OS cell migration-related aggressiveness phenotype.

Overexpression of LPAAT β promotes tumor growth in an orthotopic model of human OS tumors

We further analyzed the effect of LPAAT β expression on OS tumor growth *in vivo*. Using our previously established orthotopic

tumor model of human OS [15,38,39,41], we found that 143B cells transduced with LPAAT β formed much larger tumors than that of the control group's, whereas knockdown LPAAT β led to the inhibition of tumor growth (**Fig. 5A**). Quantitative analysis of the Xenogen bioluminescence imaging data revealed that overexpression of LPAAT β significantly promoted OS tumor growth, especially at the late stage ($p < 0.05$) while knockdown of LPAAT β did not significantly affect Xenogen imaging signal (**Fig. 5B**).




Figure 2. Construction and characterization of recombinant adenovirus that expresses mouse LPAAT β or knocks down human LPAAT β . **A.** Schematic representation of adenoviral shuttle vector that overexpresses LPAAT β driven by CMV promoter (for making AdR-LPAAT β). The shuttle vector also expresses RFP marker. **B.** Schematic representation of adenoviral pSOS shuttle vector that expresses siRNA driven by dual opposing promoters (for making AdR-siLPAAT β). The pSOS shuttle vector also expresses RFP marker. The four siRNA targeting sites against human LPAAT β are listed. **C.** Adenovirus-mediated effective transduction of human osteosarcoma cells. Optimal titer of the recombinant adenoviruses AdR-LPAAT β and AdR-siLPAAT β were used to infect 143B cells. The expression of red fluorescent protein (RFP) was examined at 48 h after infection. **D.** Characterization of AdR-LPAAT β -mediated overexpression and AdR-siLPAAT β -mediated knockdown. Subconfluent 143B cells were infected with AdR-LPAAT β , AdR-siLPAAT β , or AdRFP control for 48 h. Total RNA were collected and subjected to RT-PCR. The resultant cDNA was subjected to semi-quantitative PCR using primers specific for mouse LPAAT β mRNA (for AdR-LPAAT β infection) or human LPAAT β mRNA (for AdR-LPAAT β infection). GAPDH was used as an internal control to normalize all samples. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014182.g002

Although Xenogen bioluminescence imaging is sensitive and quantitative, the imaging results may not be reliable when tumors have necrosis and/or are less vascularized. Thus, we also measured the tumor sizes during the course of the study, and found that the overall tumor growth trends were in general consistent with that of Xenogen imaging analysis (Fig. 5C).

However, the tumor volume was reduced in the LPAAT β knockdown group ($p < 0.05$). The calculated doubling time was 9.30 days for RFP control group, 11.99 days for the siLPAAT β group, and 6.64 days for the LPAAT β group, indicating that tumors with LPAAT β over-expression had a significantly shorter doubling time than that of the control group ($p < 0.02$).




Figure 3. Effect of LPAAT β on osteosarcoma cell proliferation. **A.** Crystal violet staining assay for cell viability. 143B cells were seeded in 12-well plates and infected with an optimal titer of AdR-LPAAT β , AdR-LPAAT β , or AdRFP control. Viable cells were subjected to crystal violet staining at 5 days after infection. Representative duplicate staining is shown. **B.** Quantitative analysis of the crystal violet staining assay. Relative staining intensities were measured by using ImageJ software. **C.** MTT cell proliferation. 143B cells were seeded in 96-well plates and infected with an optimal titer of AdR-LPAAT β , AdR-LPAAT β , or AdRFP control (in triplicate). At the indicated time points after infection, cells were subjected to MTT assay to determine relative proliferative activity. **doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014182.g003**




Figure 4. Effect of LPAAT β on osteosarcoma cell migration (wound healing assay). Subconfluent 143B cells were seeded in 6-well plates and infected with AdR-LPAAT β (A), AdRFP (B), or AdR-siLPAAT β (C) for 24 h in growth media containing 1% FCS. A scratch wound was introduced across the subconfluent monolayer of cells in each well. Bright field images of the same fields were recorded at the indicated time points. The assays were repeated in at least two independent batches of experiments. Representative results are shown.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014182.g004

We further conducted histologic examination on the retrieved tumor samples. H & E staining revealed that the LPAAT β overexpression group exhibited increased cell numbers with relatively higher nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio (1.7), while the LPAAT β knockdown group has demonstrates relatively lower nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio (0.73) When compared to RFP group (1.2) (Fig. 6 top panel). Proliferative activity of the tumor cells was assessed by anti-PCNA immunohistochemical staining. Consistent with H & E staining, the LPAAT β overexpression group exhibited increased cell proliferation whereas the LPAAT β knockdown group showed relatively low proliferative activity (Fig. 6 bottom panel). Taken together, these *in vivo* results strongly suggest that LPAAT β may play an important role in promoting OS tumor growth.

Discussion

OS is the most common primary malignancy of bone [1–4,58]. Although clinical management of OS has significantly improved, survival rate in the last few decades has plateaued. This bottle-neck has been a consequence of our poor understanding of the molecular mechanism underlying OS development and progression. Here, we investigate the role of LPAAT β in OS cell proliferation and tumor growth. LPAAT β expression is readily detected in most OS cell lines. Exogenous expression of LPAAT β promotes OS cell proliferation and migration, while silencing LPAAT β expression inhibits cell proliferation and migration. Using an orthotopic xenograft model of human OS, we demonstrate that exogenous expression of LPAAT β effectively promotes OS tumor growth, while knockdown of LPAAT β reduces OS tumor volume in the xenograft model. These results suggest that LPAAT β may play an important role in regulating OS cell proliferation and tumor growth.

Our *in vitro* results suggest that the expression level of LPAAT β may correlate with OS malignant characteristics. We have found an increased level of LPAAT β in tumors with highly malignant

OS lines, such as MG63.2, 143B and UCHOS4, whereas LPAAT β expression level is lower in OS lines that are more differentiated and with lower malignant characteristics, such as TE85 and SaOS [15,39]. However, further studies on LPAAT β expression level needs to be carried out on a large set of clinical OS samples in order to determine if LPAAT β expression may serve as an indicator of OS aggressive phenotypes.

LPAATs are a family of enzyme that catalyses the biosynthesis of PA [22]. Overexpression of LPAAT β has been shown to transform cells *in vitro* [59]. LPAAT β is highly expressed in advanced ovarian tumors and is associated with aggressive histology and decreased overall survival [60–63]. Chemical inhibitors of LPAAT β exhibit anti-tumor activity and promote apoptosis in lymphomas, acute leukemia, and multiple myeloma [59,64–67]. Inhibition by either genetic means or by isoform-specific small molecules results in a block to cell signaling pathways and apoptosis [59].

PA is a versatile lipid second-messenger that functions as a cofactor in several critical signaling pathways in cancer cells. For example, the full activation of c-Raf-1 and B-raf is manifested only when phosphatidic acid physically interacts with a polybasic amino acid segment in these kinases [28]. This interaction is required for the translocation of Raf kinases to the plasma membrane where they phosphorylate and activate their targets. Moreover, binding of phosphatidic acid to a polybasic domain in mTOR is essential for its activation [30]. Thus, the role of phosphatidic acid is central to the regulation of proteins in both proliferative and survival pathways in tumor cells. We found that silencing LPAAT β expression in osteosarcoma cells resulted in decreased expression of c-Myc, cyclin D1, c-Fos, and MDM2, but an increased Rb expression (data not shown). Nonetheless, the exact role of LPAAT β in OS development remains to be investigated.

It has been reported that inhibition of LPAAT β with small interfering RNA or selective inhibitors CT32521 and CT32228 induces apoptosis in human ovarian and endometrial cancer cell




Figure 5. Effect of LPAAT β on tumor growth in the orthotopic model of osteosarcoma. **A.** Xenogen IVIS 200 bioluminescence imaging of orthotopic tumor growth. Athymic nude mice were periosteally injected at proximal tibia with 143B cells infected with AdR-LPAAT β , AdRFP, or AdR-siLPAAT β for 15 h (10^6 cells/injection). Animals were subjected to Xenogen imaging at the indicated time points. Representative imaging results at 37 days post injection are shown. **B.** Quantitative analysis of Xenogen imaging signal intensity (photons/sec/cm²/steradian) over the time after injection. **C.** Tumor growth as determined by tumor volume. The dimensions of injection sites were measured roughly every 3 days during the course of study. Tumor volumes (mm³) were calculated as described in Methods. "*" *p*-value <0.05, "*" *p*-value <0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014182.g005




Figure 6. Histological evaluation of xenograft osteosarcoma tumors. Tumors were retrieved from animals and subjected to paraffin-embedded. Sections were subjected to Hematoxylin & Eosin staining (top panel) and PCNA antibody immunohistochemical staining (bottom panel). Representative results are shown.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014182.g006

lines *in vitro* and enhances the survival of mice bearing ovarian tumor xenografts [61]. Previous studies have also demonstrated that inhibition of LPAAT β expression with siRNA in mammalian cells suppresses basal Erk phosphorylation [68]. Inhibition of LPAAT β with small-molecule antagonists prevents the translocation of Raf to the plasma membrane and subsequent Erk phosphorylation [68]. These inhibitors also suppress the activation of proteins in the phosphoinositide-3-kinase/Akt pathway, including Akt, mTOR, and S6 kinase [68]. Therefore, it is conceivable that LPAAT β may be exploited as a novel cancer drug target for OS clinical management.

Taking together, we have conducted *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies to investigate the role of LPAAT β in OS cell proliferation and tumor growth. Our results indicate that exogenous expression of LPAAT β promotes OS cell proliferation and migration, while silencing LPAAT β expression inhibits cell proliferation and migration. Furthermore, exogenous expression of LPAAT β effectively promotes OS tumor growth, while knockdown of LPAAT β reduces OS tumor volume in an orthotopic xenograft model of human OS. These results strongly suggest that LPAAT β expression may be associated with aggressive phenotypes of

human OS and that LPAAT β may play an important role in regulating OS cell proliferation and tumor growth. Therefore, targeting LPAAT β may be exploited as a novel therapeutic strategy for OS clinical management. This is especially attractive given the availability of selective pharmacological inhibitors.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the technical support of the Optical Imaging Core Facility at The University of Chicago Comprehensive Cancer Center.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: FR SHK ERW BCH JL XL YB HHL RCH TCH. Performed the experiments: FR JLJ DS QL QS WJ EH YG JS KY BCH LC GWZ XL ML NH LW AL. Analyzed the data: FR JLJ DS QL QS SHK WJ ERW EH YG JS BCH LC JL XL YB HHL RCH TCH. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JLJ QL QS SHK WJ ERW EH YG JS KY BCH LC GWZ JL XL YB XL ML NH LW AL HHL RCH. Wrote the paper: FR DS SHK ERW HHL RCH TCH.

References

- Meyers PA, Gorlick R (1997) Osteosarcoma. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 44: 973–989.
- Murphy MD, Robbin MR, McRae GA, Flemming DJ, Temple HT, et al. (1997) The many faces of osteosarcoma. *Radiographics* 17: 1205–1231.
- Whelan JS (1997) Osteosarcoma. *Eur J Cancer* 33: 1611–1618; discussion 1618–1619.
- Tang N, Song WX, Luo J, Haydon RC, He TC (2008) Osteosarcoma development and stem cell differentiation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 466: 2114–2130.
- Gorlick R (2010) Current concepts on the molecular biology of osteosarcoma. *Cancer Treat Res* 152: 467–478.
- Haydon RC, Luu HH, He TC (2007) Osteosarcoma and osteoblastic differentiation: a new perspective on oncogenesis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 454: 237–246.
- Kaste SC, Pratt CB, Cain AM, Jones-Wallace DJ, Rao BN (1999) Metastases detected at the time of diagnosis of primary pediatric extremity osteosarcoma at diagnosis: imaging features. *Cancer* 86: 1602–1608.
- Link MP, Goorin AM, Miser AW, Green AA, Pratt CB, et al. (1986) The effect of adjuvant chemotherapy on relapse-free survival in patients with osteosarcoma of the extremity. *N Engl J Med* 314: 1600–1606.
- Ward WG, Mikaelian K, Dorey F, Mirra JM, Sassoon A, et al. (1994) Pulmonary metastases of stage IIB extremity osteosarcoma and subsequent pulmonary metastases. *J Clin Oncol* 12: 1849–1858.
- Yonemoto T, Tatezaki S, Ishii T, Satoh T, Kimura H, et al. (1998) Prognosis of osteosarcoma with pulmonary metastases at initial presentation is not dismal. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. pp 194–199.
- Gordon N, Kleinerman ES (2010) The Role of Fas/FasL in the Metastatic Potential of Osteosarcoma and Targeting this Pathway for the Treatment of Osteosarcoma Lung Metastases. *Cancer Treat Res* 152: 497–508.
- Gazit Y, Kolaparthi V, Moncada K, Thomas C, Freeman J (2009) Targeted therapy of human osteosarcoma with 17AAG or rapamycin: characterization of induced apoptosis and inhibition of mTOR and Akt/MAPK/Wnt pathways. *Int J Oncol* 34: 551–561.
- Mintz MB, Sowers R, Brown KM, Hilmer SC, Mazza B, et al. (2005) An expression signature classifies chemotherapy-resistant pediatric osteosarcoma. *Cancer Res* 65: 1748–1754.
- Haydon RC, Deyrup A, Ishikawa A, Heck R, Jiang W, et al. (2002) Cytoplasmic and/or nuclear accumulation of the beta-catenin protein is a frequent event in human osteosarcoma. *International journal of cancer* 102: 338–342.
- Luo X, Chen J, Song WX, Tang N, Luo J, et al. (2008) Osteogenic BMPs promote tumor growth of human osteosarcomas that harbor differentiation defects. Laboratory investigation; a journal of technical methods and pathology 88: 1264–1277.
- Luu HH, Zhou L, Haydon RC, Deyrup AT, Montag AG, et al. (2005) Increased expression of S100A6 is associated with decreased metastasis and inhibition of

- cell migration and anchorage independent growth in human osteosarcoma. *Cancer letters* 229: 135–148.
17. Feugeas O, Guriec N, Babin-Boilletot A, Marcellin L, Simon P, et al. (1996) Loss of heterozygosity of the RB gene is a poor prognostic factor in patients with osteosarcoma. *J Clin Oncol* 14: 467–472.
 18. Gorlick R, Anderson P, Andrulis I, Arndt C, Beardsley GP, et al. (2003) Biology of childhood osteogenic sarcoma and potential targets for therapeutic development: meeting summary. *Clin Cancer Res* 9: 5442–5453.
 19. Pakos EE, Kyzas PA, Ioannidis JP (2004) Prognostic significance of TP53 tumor suppressor gene expression and mutations in human osteosarcoma: a meta-analysis. *Clin Cancer Res* 10: 6208–6214.
 20. Sandberg AA, Bridge JA (2003) Updates on the cytogenetics and molecular genetics of bone and soft tissue tumors: osteosarcoma and related tumors. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 145: 1–30.
 21. Yokoyama R, Schneider-Stock R, Radig K, Wex T, Roessner A (1998) Clinicopathologic implications of MDM2, p53 and K-ras gene alterations in osteosarcomas: MDM2 amplification and p53 mutations found in progressive tumors. *Pathol Res Pract* 194: 615–621.
 22. Hollenback D, Bonham L, Law L, Rossnagle E, Romero L, et al. (2006) Substrate specificity of lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase beta – evidence from membrane and whole cell assays. *J Lipid Res* 47: 593–604.
 23. Leung DW (2001) The structure and functions of human lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferases. *Front Biosci* 6: D944–953.
 24. West J, Tompkins CK, Balantac N, Nudelman E, Meengs B, et al. (1997) Cloning and expression of two human lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase cDNAs that enhance cytokine-induced signaling responses in cells. *DNA Cell Biol* 16: 691–701.
 25. Stamps AC, Elmore MA, Hill ME, Kelly K, Makda AA, et al. (1997) A human cDNA sequence with homology to non-mammalian lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferases. *Biochem J* 326(Pt 2): 455–461.
 26. Eberhardt C, Gray PW, Tjoelker LW (1997) Human lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase. cDNA cloning, expression, and localization to chromosome 9q34.3. *J Biol Chem* 272: 20299–20305.
 27. Magre J, Delepine M, Khalouf E, Gedde-Dahl T, Jr., Van Maldergem L, et al. (2001) Identification of the gene altered in Berardinelli-Seip congenital lipodystrophy on chromosome 11q13. *Nat Genet* 28: 365–370.
 28. Andresen BT, Rizzo MA, Shome K, Romero G (2002) The role of phosphatidic acid in the regulation of the Ras/MEK/Erk signaling cascade. *FEBS Lett* 531: 65–68.
 29. Wang X, Devaiah SP, Zhang W, Welti R (2006) Signaling functions of phosphatidic acid. *Prog Lipid Res* 45: 250–278.
 30. Fang Y, Vilella-Bach M, Bachmann R, Flanagan A, Chen J (2001) Phosphatidic acid-mediated mitogenic activation of mTOR signaling. *Science* 294: 1942–1945.
 31. Ghosh S, Strum JC, Sciorra VA, Daniel L, Bell RM (1996) Raf-1 kinase possesses distinct binding domains for phosphatidylserine and phosphatidic acid. Phosphatidic acid regulates the translocation of Raf-1 in 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate-stimulated Madin-Darby canine kidney cells. *J Biol Chem* 271: 8472–8480.
 32. Zhou Q, Deng Z, Zhu Y, Long H, Zhang S, et al. (2009) mTOR/p70S6K Signal transduction pathway contributes to osteosarcoma progression and patients' prognosis. *Med Oncol*.
 33. Furuta E, Okuda H, Kobayashi A, Watabe K (2010) Metabolic genes in cancer: their roles in tumor progression and clinical implications. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 1805: 141–152.
 34. Mashima T, Seimiya H, Tsuruo T (2009) De novo fatty-acid synthesis and related pathways as molecular targets for cancer therapy. *Br J Cancer* 100: 1369–1372.
 35. Menendez JA (2010) Fine-tuning the lipogenic/lipolytic balance to optimize the metabolic requirements of cancer cell growth: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic perspectives. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 1801: 381–391.
 36. Menendez JA, Lupu R (2007) Fatty acid synthase and the lipogenic phenotype in cancer pathogenesis. *Nature reviews* 7: 763–777.
 37. Cortes VA, Curtis DE, Sukumaran S, Shao X, Parameswara V, et al. (2009) Molecular mechanisms of hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance in the AGPAT2-deficient mouse model of congenital generalized lipodystrophy. *Cell metabolism* 9: 165–176.
 38. Su Y, Luo X, He BC, Wang Y, Chen L, et al. (2009) Establishment and characterization of a new highly metastatic human osteosarcoma cell line. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 26: 599–610.
 39. Luu HH, Kang Q, Park JK, Si W, Luo Q, et al. (2005) An orthotopic model of human osteosarcoma growth and spontaneous pulmonary metastasis. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 22: 319–329.
 40. Luo X, Sharff KA, Chen J, He TC, Luu HH (2008) S100A6 expression and function in human osteosarcoma. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 466: 2060–2070.
 41. He BC, Chen L, Zuo GW, Zhang W, Bi Y, et al. (2010) Synergistic antitumor effect of the activated PPARgamma and retinoid receptors on human osteosarcoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 16: 2235–2245.
 42. Cheng H, Jiang W, Phillips FM, Haydon RC, Peng Y, et al. (2003) Osteogenic activity of the fourteen types of human bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs). *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 85-A: 1544–1552.
 43. Kang Q, Sun MH, Cheng H, Peng Y, Montag AG, et al. (2004) Characterization of the distinct orthotopic bone-forming activity of 14 BMPs using recombinant adenovirus-mediated gene delivery. *Gene Ther* 11: 1312–1320.
 44. He TC, Zhou S, da Costa LT, Yu J, Kinzler KW, et al. (1998) A simplified system for generating recombinant adenoviruses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95: 2509–2514.
 45. Luo J, Deng ZL, Luo X, Tang N, Song WX, et al. (2007) A protocol for rapid generation of recombinant adenoviruses using the AdEasy system. *Nat Protoc* 2: 1236–1247.
 46. Kang Q, Song WX, Luo Q, Tang N, Luo J, et al. (2009) A comprehensive analysis of the dual roles of BMPs in regulating adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal progenitor cells. *Stem Cells Dev* 18: 545–559.
 47. Luo Q, Kang Q, Song WX, Luu HH, Luo X, et al. (2007) Selection and validation of optimal siRNA target sites for RNAi-mediated gene silencing. *Gene* 395: 160–169.
 48. Chen L, Jiang W, Huang J, He BC, Zuo GW, et al. (2010) Insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2) potentiates BMP9-induced osteogenic differentiation and bone formation. *J Bone Miner Res*.
 49. Tang N, Song WX, Luo J, Luo X, Chen J, et al. (2009) BMP-9-induced osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal progenitors requires functional canonical Wnt/beta-catenin signalling. *Journal of cellular and molecular medicine* 13: 2448–2464.
 50. He TC, Chan TA, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW (1999) PPARdelta is an APC-regulated target of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Cell* 99: 335–345.
 51. He TC, Sparks AB, Rago C, Hermeking H, Zawel L, et al. (1998) Identification of c-MYC as a target of the APC pathway. *Science* 281: 1509–1512.
 52. Bi Y, Huang J, He Y, Zhu GH, Su Y, et al. (2009) Wnt antagonist SFRP3 inhibits the differentiation of mouse hepatic progenitor cells. *Journal of cellular biochemistry* 108: 295–303.
 53. Sharff KA, Song WX, Luo X, Tang N, Luo J, et al. (2009) Hey1 basic helix-loop-helix protein plays an important role in mediating BMP9-induced osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal progenitor cells. *J Biol Chem* 284: 649–659.
 54. Si W, Kang Q, Luu HH, Park JK, Luo Q, et al. (2006) CCN1/Cyr61 is regulated by the canonical Wnt signal and plays an important role in Wnt3A-induced osteoblast differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells. *Molecular and cellular biology* 26: 2955–2964.
 55. Haydon RC, Zhou L, Feng T, Breyer B, Cheng H, et al. (2002) Nuclear receptor agonists as potential differentiation therapy agents for human osteosarcoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 8: 1288–1294.
 56. Gieni RS, Li Y, HayGlass KT (1995) Comparison of [3H]thymidine incorporation with MTT- and MTS-based bioassays for human and murine IL-2 and IL-4 analysis. Tetrazolium assays provide markedly enhanced sensitivity. *J Immunol Methods* 187: 85–93.
 57. Luo Q, Kang Q, Si W, Jiang W, Park JK, et al. (2004) Connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) is regulated by Wnt and bone morphogenetic proteins signaling in osteoblast differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells. *J Biol Chem* 279: 55958–55968.
 58. Chou AJ, Gorlick R (2006) Chemotherapy resistance in osteosarcoma: current challenges and future directions. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 6: 1075–1085.
 59. Bonham L, Leung DW, White T, Hollenback D, Klein P, et al. (2003) Lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase-beta: a novel target for induction of tumour cell apoptosis. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 7: 643–661.
 60. Niesporek S, Denkert C, Weichert C, Kobel M, Noske A, et al. (2005) Expression of lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase beta (LPAAT-beta) in ovarian carcinoma: correlation with tumour grading and prognosis. *Br J Cancer* 92: 1729–1736.
 61. Springett GM, Bonham L, Hummer A, Linkov I, Misra D, et al. (2005) Lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase-beta is a prognostic marker and therapeutic target in gynecologic malignancies. *Cancer Res* 65: 9415–9425.
 62. Burton A (2006) LPAAT-beta identifies aggressive ovarian cancer. *The lancet oncology* 7: 893.
 63. Diefenbach CS, Soslow RA, Iasonos A, Linkov I, Hedvat C, et al. (2006) Lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase-beta (LPAAT-beta) is highly expressed in advanced ovarian cancer and is associated with aggressive histology and poor survival. *Cancer* 107: 1511–1519.
 64. Pagel JM, Laugen C, Bonham L, Hackman RC, Hockenbery DM, et al. (2005) Induction of apoptosis using inhibitors of lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase-beta and anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies for treatment of human non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. *Clin Cancer Res* 11: 4857–4866.
 65. La Rosee P, Jia T, Demehri S, Hartel N, de Vries P, et al. (2006) Antileukemic activity of lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase-beta inhibitor CT32228 in chronic myelogenous leukemia sensitive and resistant to imatinib. *Clin Cancer Res* 12: 6540–6546.
 66. Douvas MG, Hogan KN, Ji Y, Hollenback D, Bonham L, et al. (2006) Effect of lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase-beta inhibition in acute leukemia. *Leukemia research* 30: 1027–1036.
 67. Hideshima T, Chauhan D, Hayashi T, Podar K, Akiyama M, et al. (2003) Antitumor activity of lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase-beta inhibitors, a novel class of agents, in multiple myeloma. *Cancer Res* 63: 8428–8436.
 68. Coon M, Ball A, Pound J, Ap S, Hollenback D, et al. (2003) Inhibition of lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase beta disrupts proliferative and survival signals in normal cells and induces apoptosis of tumor cells. *Molecular cancer therapeutics* 2: 1067–1078.